

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,116	11/03/2003	Larry J. Whitener	21447-00001	5114
75	7590 04/13/2005		EXAMINER	
John S. Beulio		DONELS, JEFFREY		
Armstrong Teas Suite 2600	sdale LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Metropolit			2837	
St. Louis, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/700,116	WHITENER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Donels	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be exply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.	_					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority docume 						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	` '''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	/ea.				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ. □	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050405.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office	o) □ Orier:					

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 2, 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claim 2, the claim recites functional language, and the corresponding structure to support this is not clearly recited. Correction is required.

Regarding Claim 14, there is no recited structural and functional relationship between the "sound board" and the rest of the recited subject matter. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2,4-9,11-15,17-22 are rejected (to the extent understood) under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Qian et al (USPGP 2002/0189429).

Art Unit: 2837

Qian discloses a portable digital music player which comprises microphone 70, music generation device 90, processing unit 95,100, and headphone 100.

Claims 1-6,8-12,14-22 are rejected (to the extent understood) under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Stevenson (USP 6737570).

Stevenson discloses an interactive personal audio device which comprises a microphone 70, music generation device 70, processing unit 45, and headphones 46.

Claims 1-6,8-12,14-22 are rejected (to the extent understood) under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully met by Ng (USP 6328570).

Ng discloses a portable karaoke unit which comprises a microphone 144, music generation device 210, processing unit 250, and headphones.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevenson.

Stevenson (applied here in a similar manner as above) discloses all features recited, but does not explicitly disclose the second microphone / receiving the third

Art Unit: 2837

audio input as recited. It has been held that the mere duplication of working parts does not constitute nonobviousness (In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)). It would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Stevenson accordingly, so as to allow for more simultaneous users of the Stevenson device.

Claims 7,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng. Ng (applied here in a similar manner as above) discloses all features recited, but does not explicitly disclose the second microphone / receiving the third audio input as recited. It has been held that the mere duplication of working parts does not constitute nonobviousness (In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)). It would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Ng accordingly, so as to allow for more simultaneous users of the Ng device.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yi et al (USP 6407325), Pavel (USP 4412106), Tubman et al (USP 5820384), Lee (USP 6278048), Strother (USPGP 2004/0208328), are further cited to show related teachings in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 571-272-2061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 37. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2837

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey Donels Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837 Page 5